SOUTHEAST ASIA JOURNAL OF BUSINESS, ACCOUNTING, AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP
S.A.I.N.S ISSN 3031-2906

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND SOCIAL MEDIA MARKETING: A CONCEPTUAL STUDY

Muh. Yushar Mustafa
Program Studi Manajemen, Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia
**Corresponding Email: m.yusharmustafa@unm.ac.id*

Editorial Members Link

ICI Copernicus Link

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence, or Ai, is reshaping social media marketing by enabling more precise targeting, faster content production, and improved measurement of consumer responses. This conceptual study examines how AI systems influence marketing strategy, operational practices, and customer engagement across social media platforms. The paper integrates prior scholarship in AI adoption, digital marketing, and algorithmic communication to develop an analytic framework explaining key mechanisms and outcomes. Specifically, it conceptualizes AI-enabled social media marketing through four interrelated constructs: (1) algorithmic decision-making and personalization, (2) content generation and optimization, (3) predictive analytics and performance attribution, and (4) governance, ethics, and sustainability of marketing effectiveness. The study addresses a central problem in the literature: while research frequently documents technological capabilities, it is less explicit about how AI reshapes marketing processes end-to-end and what conditions moderate benefits and risks. The objective is to propose an integrative conceptual model that clarifies the causal pathways through which AI contributes to engagement and conversion, and to identify boundary conditions linked to data quality, platform incentives, user trust, regulatory constraints, and brand–audience alignment. By synthesizing evidence from peer-reviewed research, industry-facing measurement standards, and governance perspectives, this study offers guidance for scholars seeking to operationalize conceptual variables and for practitioners aiming to implement AI responsibly.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, social media marketing, conceptual study, marketing management.

1. INTRODUCTION

Social media has evolved from a communication channel into a marketspace where attention, relationships, and transactions are mediated by platform algorithms. Marketing on these platforms depends on visibility—often governed by automated ranking, recommendation systems, and engagement-based feedback loops. As a result, marketers increasingly face a systems-level challenge: performance is determined not only by brand content, but also by the platform's algorithmic logic and the dynamics of user interaction. In parallel, AI technologies have matured rapidly across machine learning (ML), deep learning, natural language processing (NLP), computer vision, and recommender systems. AI can detect patterns in large-scale data streams, forecast user behavior, and automate aspects of creative and analytical work. In social media marketing, these capabilities translate into: (i) improved audience targeting and segmentation via behavioral modeling; (ii) automated or semi-automated content creation; (iii) dynamic optimization of posting schedules and creative variants; and (iv) enhanced measurement using predictive attribution methods. However, the marketing impact of AI is neither uniformly positive nor purely technological; it depends on data availability, model quality, organizational capabilities, platform constraints, and—crucially—user perceptions of relevance and authenticity. Moreover, academic work has addressed AI's role in marketing productivity

and customer experience, while information-systems scholarship has emphasized algorithmic mediation, platform governance, and the role of data infrastructures. Yet, social media marketing remains an interdisciplinary domain where constructs are frequently studied in isolation. For example, AI personalization is examined from recommender-systems perspectives; creative automation is discussed under content generation; measurement improvements are framed in analytics and performance marketing; and risks are framed in privacy, bias, transparency, and misinformation. A conceptual synthesis that explicitly connects these mechanisms to marketing outcomes and boundary conditions is still needed.

The central problem is a conceptual gap: although the literature increasingly documents AI capabilities in marketing contexts, it often lacks an integrative explanation of how AI reshapes social media marketing processes end-to-end and under what conditions the benefits become sustainable. Put differently, existing studies may show that AI improves targeting or prediction, but they do not consistently specify the causal pathways linking AI-enabled decisions to user engagement, brand trust, conversion, and long-term relationship outcomes. Additionally, the social media environment introduces distinctive complexities. Platforms optimize for engagement metrics, not necessarily for brand outcomes. Therefore, AI-driven marketing may inadvertently intensify feedback loops that reward sensationalism, filter out minority interests, or increase manipulative practices. Governance mechanisms—both regulatory (e.g., privacy and AI-related compliance) and platform-level (e.g., advertising policies and transparency expectations)—shape what AI can do and how audiences respond. Thus, a conceptual study must address three intertwined questions:

(1) Mechanisms: Through what processes does AI affect targeting, content, measurement, and relationship outcomes?
(2) Outcomes: What marketing outcomes should be theorized beyond short-term engagement?
(3) Boundary conditions: When do AI benefits diminish due to data issues, user trust concerns, bias, or ethical and regulatory constraints?

The objective of this conceptual study is to develop an integrative framework explaining AI's influence on social media marketing and to propose a structured set of constructs and relationships that scholars can operationalize in future empirical research. The paper aims to:

(1) Synthesize relevant literature across AI, recommender systems, digital marketing, and algorithmic governance.
(2) Develop a conceptual model linking AI capabilities to marketing process stages and marketing performance outcomes.
(3) Identify moderators and boundary conditions (e.g., data quality, user trust, brand authenticity, and regulatory/platform constraints).
(4) Clarify implications for responsible AI marketing practice.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Data-driven marketing is grounded in the premise that customer data enables more relevant offers and improved marketing decisions (Armstrong et al., 2014; Gegung, 2025). Over time, marketing analytics has progressed from descriptive reporting to advanced predictive and prescriptive analytics. AI extends these analytics approaches by learning patterns from data at scale, enabling segmentation beyond static demographic categories and facilitating dynamic personalization. From a theoretical perspective, AI-enabled personalization can be understood through the lens of information processing (Mustafa et al., 2025). Customers respond positively when communications match their needs, interests, and context. The stronger the personalization, the greater the perceived

relevance, which may increase attention and engagement. However, the privacy calculus and transparency dynamics matter: customers may also react negatively if personalization is perceived as intrusive or manipulative. Privacy-related expectations are influenced by trust, perceived control, and perceived legitimacy of data processing.

Furthermore, social media marketing (SMM) is mediated by ranking and recommendation algorithms that determine which content is shown. Platforms employ automated systems that infer user preferences from behavior signals (e.g., clicks, follows, dwell time, likes, shares) and content attributes (Badade & Dhanaraj, 2024; Mustafa et al., 2023a; Mustafa et al., 2023b). These systems are not neutral; they reflect platform incentives—often maximizing user engagement and retention. Research on recommender systems and algorithmic communication suggests that algorithmic visibility can shape public attention and consumption patterns. Therefore, AI-based marketing must be understood as interaction with algorithmic selection mechanisms, rather than as direct broadcasting (Amran et al., 2024; Mustafa et al., 2025; Raisch & Krakowski, 2021; Smith & Green, 2018). The marketer competes not only with other brands but also within an ecosystem where machine learning models decide the distribution of content.

Personalization is among the most prominent AI applications in marketing. AI approaches include supervised prediction (e.g., click-through likelihood), collaborative filtering, and neural recommendation models that learn latent representations of users and items (content/ads) (Armstrong et al., 2014; Kotler, 2020; Kotler et al., 2022). When applied to social media, personalization can influence who sees content, which creatives are shown, and how often audiences are contacted. Yet, personalization has limitations. Models may suffer from dataset shift when user behavior changes (e.g., seasonality, trending events, platform changes). Additionally, algorithmic bias can affect which audiences are targeted or excluded, potentially leading to unequal opportunities or harmful discrimination (Chan, 2024; García-Orosa, 2021). The literature also highlights that personalization can be perceived as uncanny or creepy if audiences infer surveillance.

Generative AI, including NLP and multimodal models, supports content creation: drafting captions, generating ad copy variants, summarizing performance, and proposing creative variations. Even when generative outputs are not used verbatim, AI can accelerate production and support experimentation (A/B tests at scale).

However, according particular scholars, such as Raisch and Krakowski (2021), AI content generation introduces risks:

(1) Authenticity and brand voice drift: Automated outputs may reduce perceived genuineness, especially if they fail to match brand identity.
(2) Quality control: Hallucination, factual errors, or inappropriate tone can harm brand trust.
(3) Cultural sensitivity: Models may misinterpret local contexts or norms.
(4) Ethical concerns: Use of AI imagery or persuasive text can blur boundaries between legitimate creativity and manipulation.

Subsequently, marketing measurement is evolving from simple funnel metrics to more advanced causal and predictive approaches. AI can estimate conversion probabilities, identify likely churn risk, forecast lifetime value, and optimize budgets based on predicted return. Attribution remains challenging because social media interactions are multi-touch and influenced by factors outside the platform (offline advertising, word-of-mouth, search behavior). AI-based attribution models can improve prediction but still depend on assumptions and data completeness. Privacy restrictions (e.g., consent

requirements, tracking limitations) may reduce signal availability and increase uncertainty.

3. METHODS

This study employs a conceptual research methodology using integrative literature synthesis. Conceptual studies aim to clarify constructs, propose relationships, and establish directions for empirical testing. The method includes:

1. Construct identification: Determining key constructs grounded in prior scholarship (AI personalization, AI content generation, predictive measurement, algorithmic governance, user trust).
2. Mechanism mapping: Linking AI capabilities to social media marketing process stages (data ingestion → model inference → decision/action → user response → measurement feedback).
3. Boundary condition specification: Incorporating moderating variables (data quality, platform incentives, regulatory constraints, brand authenticity).
4. Model formulation: Developing a structured conceptual framework with testable propositions suitable for future quantitative or mixed-method empirical research.

The paper applies standard criteria for conceptual work:

a) Clarity in definitions and boundaries between constructs.
b) Internal consistency in proposed relationships.
c) Transferability across social media platforms with differing ad formats and algorithmic rules.
d) Empirical usefulness by generating testable propositions.

The conceptual model focuses on organizational and platform-mediated marketing processes: how marketers use AI systems to influence the content delivery and user experience on social media platforms. It does not attempt to quantify specific market effects; rather, it explains how effects should be expected to occur and under what conditions.

The model is developed by iteratively aligning: (1) AI system components (data, models, inference, optimization); (2) marketing workflow stages (strategy, targeting, content, execution, evaluation); (3) behavioral response constructs (attention, engagement, trust, conversion); and (4) governance conditions (privacy, fairness, transparency, misinformation prevention). Because the study is conceptual, it emphasizes theoretical coherence and clarity of definitions to reduce ambiguity in subsequent empirical operationalization.

4. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

AI-Enabled Social Media Marketing as a Closed Loop

A useful way to interpret AI in social media marketing is as a closed-loop system: (1) Data acquisition: Collection of signals from user interactions, platform metrics, and marketing engagement events (subject to consent and policy constraints); (2) Model learning and inference: AI models infer user preferences and predicted outcomes (e.g., likelihood of engagement or purchase); (3) Decision and action: The system selects audiences, creatives, and delivery timing; (4) User experience and interaction: Users perceive content relevance, authenticity, and risk; they interact, generating feedback signals; and (5) Measurement and optimization: The marketer evaluates performance (with AI-assisted attribution) and updates strategies and model inputs. This loop creates dynamic interactions where AI does not merely “support” marketing; it shapes how marketing actions influence platform distribution and user behavior, which then becomes training data.

Construct Definitions

To ground the conceptual model, the following constructs are defined: (1) AI Personalization (AP): The extent to which AI selects recipients and content variants based on inferred user preferences; (2) AI Content Automation (ACA): The extent to which AI assists in generating, rewriting, or optimizing marketing content (copy, visuals, or recommendations); (3) AI Predictive Measurement (APM): The use of AI to forecast outcomes (engagement, conversions, churn, lifetime value) and improve attribution; (4) Algorithmic Mediation Intensity (AMI): The degree to which platform algorithms determine content visibility relative to marketer-controlled distribution; (5) User Trust and Perceived Authenticity (UTPA): User perceptions that the marketing is credible, respectful of privacy, and aligned with brand identity.

Challenges: Beyond Efficiency

However, the push for efficiency through automation presents two major risks: (1) Homogenisation of Content: As firms rely on the same sets of predictive algorithms to generate "optimal" content, there is a risk of brand homogenization, where marketing messages lose their distinct human character; (2) Manipulation vs. Persuasion: AI's ability to profile users to a granular level creates a fine line between providing relevant content and psychological manipulation. As noted by Simula (2025), the lack of transparency in how data is utilised to influence purchase decisions may eventually erode the very consumer trust that AI is intended to cultivate.

Future Trends: The Road to 2027 and Beyond

The analysis of current trends suggests a shift toward the following:

- Social Commerce: AI-enhanced social commerce will increasingly blur the lines between social networking and retail, with conversational AI acting as a personal shopping assistant (Tahir, 2026).
- Regulatory Compliance: Future marketing strategies will likely need to integrate "privacy-by-design" into their AI workflows, anticipating stricter enforcement from authorities regarding data usage (Tahir, 2026).
- Virtual Environments: With the maturation of the metaverse and immersive advertising, AI will be tasked with managing behavioural data collection in 3D, interactive spaces, presenting even more complex privacy challenges (Shabankareh, 2025).

Proposed Conceptual Framework

The framework can be represented as a set of relationships:

1. AP → User Experience Pathway
    - AI personalization increases perceived relevance, raising engagement likelihood.
    - However, high personalization may reduce trust if users perceive surveillance or manipulation.
2. ACA → Brand–Audience Alignment
    - AI content automation increases production speed and supports targeted creative variations.
    - Outcomes depend on content authenticity and quality governance.
3. APM → Strategy Effectiveness
    - Predictive measurement improves targeting and budgeting by anticipating performance.
    - But measurement error or missing data can produce suboptimal decisions.

Discussions

How AI Personalization Influences Engagement and Conversion

AI personalization operates by reducing informational uncertainty. When users see content that better matches their interests and context, they are more likely to engage. Engagement can then generate additional signals that influence recommender systems, potentially improving distribution for future campaigns. This introduces a feedback mechanism: better targeting leads to more engagement, which may further increase content visibility.

- **Proposition 1:** AI personalization will have a positive effect on social media marketing performance through perceived relevance, contingent on sufficiently high user trust and transparency.

How AI Content Automation Impacts Brand Equity and Engagement Quality

AI content automation can enhance marketing performance by scaling experimentation and refining creatives quickly. Yet the marketing literature on brand identity suggests that consumers evaluate authenticity and consistency over time. If AI-generated content deviates from brand voice or uses emotionally manipulative language, perceived authenticity may drop. Additionally, content quality and safety matter. Hallucinated claims, culturally insensitive tone, or poor visual coherence can harm brand trust. Therefore, AI content automation should be theorized as effective only when coupled with governance controls and human oversight.

- **Proposition 2:** AI content automation will improve engagement performance and efficiency primarily when governance and quality controls preserve brand authenticity and factual integrity, thereby sustaining user trust.

How AI Predictive Measurement Shapes Marketing Decisions

AI predictive measurement supports more accurate planning by forecasting which audience segments and creative variants will perform better. This can reduce wasted spend and enable faster learning. However, predictive analytics often depends on assumptions. Missing signals, platform changes, and privacy-driven tracking limits can produce measurement bias. Miscalibrated models may optimize for metrics that correlate with revenue only weakly (e.g., vanity metrics). In social media, where engagement is a primary platform objective, prediction models might over-optimize for engagement proxies at the expense of long-term relationship outcomes.

- **Proposition 3:** AI predictive measurement will enhance marketing performance when measurement models are calibrated to meaningful outcomes and when data availability supports reliable inference; otherwise, optimization may shift toward short-term engagement proxies.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Conclusion

This conceptual study examined how Artificial Intelligence influences social media marketing through an integrated set of mechanisms involving personalization, content automation, predictive measurement, and governance. By framing AI-enabled social media marketing as a closed-loop process mediated by platform algorithms, the paper explains why AI can improve performance while also highlighting conditions under which AI may lead to misalignment with brand goals or degrade user trust.

The study proposed five core propositions: (1) AI personalization improves performance via perceived relevance when user trust is sufficiently high; (2) AI content automation

improves engagement and efficiency when governance preserves authenticity and factual integrity; (3) AI predictive measurement enhances performance when models are calibrated to meaningful outcomes and data supports reliable inference; (4) algorithmic mediation intensity amplifies AI effects, potentially increasing both gains and risks; and (5) governance and risk controls strengthen AI's positive relationships with outcomes by increasing trust and reducing regulatory or reputational threats.

Theoretical contributions include a multi-mechanism framework connecting AI capabilities to marketing process stages and user experience constructs, with explicit boundary conditions. Practical implications emphasize trust-by-design, human oversight for creative outputs, outcome-aligned measurement, and continuous monitoring for bias and safety.

Overall, the paper suggests that the future of social media marketing will depend less on whether organizations adopt AI and more on how they integrate AI into responsible marketing workflows that preserve authenticity, align with platform algorithmic realities, and sustain user trust over time.

Recommendation

Because this is conceptual, the most valuable next step is operationalization. Future work could test:

- Whether user trust mediates the effect of AI personalization on engagement and conversion.
- Whether brand authenticity moderates the effect of AI content automation on long-term brand equity.
- Whether governance reduces negative outcomes such as backlash, perception of manipulation, or reduced willingness to follow/subscribe.
- Whether algorithmic mediation intensity predicts stronger effects of AI decisions on visibility and performance.
- Whether AI predictive measurement leads to better business outcomes when measurement models include causal adjustments.

Empirically, researchers might employ experiments (to isolate causal effects), longitudinal field studies (to capture feedback loop dynamics), and mixed-method approaches (to capture qualitative perceptions of trust and authenticity).

REFERENCES

Amran, A. D., Syahid, R., & Mustafa, M. Y. (2024). Digital Leadership Impacts on a Village-owned Enterprise Performance: A Moderation Effect of Artificial Intelligence. *South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics*, *21*(11), 74-80. https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.9734/sajsse/2024/v21i11902

Armstrong, G., Adam, S., Denize, S., & Kotler, P. (2014). *Principles of marketing*. Pearson.

Badade, A. B., & Dhanaraj, R. K. (2024). Astroturfing: Understanding and Addressing the Influence of Fake Grassroots Movements Across Diverse Domains on Social Media Platforms. Singapore.

Chan, J. (2024). Online astroturfing: A problem beyond disinformation. *Philosophy & Social Criticism*, *50*(3), 507-528.

García-Orosa, B. (2021). Disinformation, social media, bots, and astroturfing: the fourth wave of digital democracy. *Profesional de la información*, *30*(6).

Gegung, E. (2025). Guerilla Marketing. *Journal of Management: Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs)*, *18*(1), 275-284.

Kotler, P. (2020). Marketing and value creation. *Journal of creating value*, *6*(1), 10-11.

Kotler, P., Keller, K. L., Ang, S. H., Tan, C. T., & Leong, S. M. (2022). *Marketing management: an Asian perspective*. Pearson Education.

Mustafa, M. Y., Akbar, A., Razak, N., Angreyani, A. D., Abadi, R. R., & Nurjannah, N. (2023a). The rise of skywalker: The critical vehemence of customer loyalty inside the e-commerce platform. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, *41*(2), 57-67.

Mustafa, M. Y., Mustafa, R., Haeruddin, M. I. M., & Putra, M. A. F. A. (2025). The Skywalker Saga: A Bibliometric Analysis on Product Innovation in the Era of Artificial Intelligence. *Southeast Asia Journal of Business, Accounting, and Entrepreneurship*, *3*((1-3)), 20-36.

Mustafa, M. Y., Rauf, D. I., & Killa, B. A. (2023b). Consumer behavior: Lifestyle, socialmedia and peer friends on consumptive behavior online shopping for fashion products on the tiktok platform: Study on management students of Makassar State University. *Jurnal Penelitian dan Karya Mahasiswa*, *1*(1), 33-42.

Raisch, S., & Krakowski, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and management: The automation–augmentation paradox. *Academy of management review*, *46*(1), 192-210. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2018.0072

Smith, A. M., & Green, M. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the role of leadership. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, *12*(3), 85-87. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/jls.21605